UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/663,064 | 09/16/2003 | Zaki A. Khan | E59121.006 | 2049 |
| 44093 ELEY LAW FI | 7590 09/09/201 RM CO . | EXAMINER | | |
| 7870 OLENTA | NGY RIVER RD | EDELL, JOSEPH F | | |
| SUITE 311 COLUMBUS, 0 | ОН 43235 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3636 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/09/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/663,064 | KHAN ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| JOSEPH EDELL | 3636 | | |

| | JOSEPH EDELL | 3030 | |
|--|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 01 September 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THI | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | FOR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, wwith 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1) | ater thán SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE r). | g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI | on. LED WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | filed within two month | s of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | | | e appeal. Since a |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | out prior to the date of filing a brief, | will not be entered be | cause |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor | • | ΓE below); | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | • | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet | ter form for appeal by materially red | ducing or simplifying t | ne issues for |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | porrosponding number of finally reig | acted claims | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | corresponding number of finally reje | cted claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21 See attached Notice of Non Co | mpliant Amondment (| DTOL 324) |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | mpilant Amendment (| F 10L-324). |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | | timaly filed amondmor | ot cancoling the |
| non-allowable claim(s). | owabie ii subifiitted iii a separate, | unery med amendmer | it cancelling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | I sufficient reasons why the affidav | it or other evidence is | necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fail | s to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | 1991 6 11 | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the etterhed Information Displaying Statement(s). | | i condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: | F10/30/00/ Paper NO(8) | | |
| | /Joseph F Edell/ | | |
| | Primary Examiner, Art U | Init | |
| | , =,,,,,, | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments regarding the teachings of Borsani have been considered. Applicant's argument regarding the elevation of Borsani's pivot point in view of the teachings of Balk has been considered. Applicant's arguments are not persuasive because Examiner finds that the combination of Borsani, Kuroiwa et al., and Balk would have rendered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made a seat cushion top portion capable of pivoting to a position facially adjacent the seat back, and a seatback capable of pivoting to a position facially adjacent the seat cushion top portion where the seat cushion and seatback are independently capable of pivoting, as set forth in claim 1.